Effect of Mineral Fertilization with Some Growth Regulators on Growth of *Magnolia grandiflora* L. Seedling. I. Effect on Vegetative Growth

AbdelKader, H.H.\*; E.A.H. El – Boraie\*\*; A.M. Hamza\*and M.F.R. Badawya \* Vegt and Flori. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Mansoura Univ .

\*\* Vegt and Flori. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Damietta Univ .

# ABSTRACT

This article CHECKED against plagiarism using TurnitIn software

This study was carried out at the Experimental Station and Laboratory of Vegetable& Floriculture department, Fac. of Agriculture, Mansoura University during the two successive seasons of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. It aimed to study the effect of monthly treatments of NPK rates (0, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5 g /plant) and foliar spray with GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 ppm and yeast at 5 g/l either alone or in combination from the 1<sup>st</sup> of April to the 1<sup>st</sup> of September on growth of containerized magnolia (*Magnolia grandiflora* L.) tree transplants. The results showed that GA<sub>3</sub> spray was the most effective compound to improving all growth parameters of magnolia transplants, followed by yeast spray, while mineral fertilization was the third in order. Among fertilizer treatments, the medium fertilizer level 3g/pot was optimum for growth of magnolia transplants. Monthly fertilization with Hyper feed NPK (19:19:19) fertilizer at 3 g/pot combined with foliar spray of both GA3 at 100 ppm and yeast at 5 g/l resulted in the highest significant values for plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves per plant, leaf area and fresh and dry weights of plant, fresh and dry weights of magnolia transplants. Data also showed that the previously mentioned combination resulted in the highest contents of N, P, K, carbohydrates, and chlorophyll in magnolia leaves.

# **INTRODUCTION**

*Magnolia grandiflora* L. (Southern magnolia or Bull Bay) is a large evergreen tree that grows up to 30 m in height (Duncan and Duncan, 1988). It belongs to the family *Magnoliaceae* and is a native to the Southeastern United States. In Egypt, it is grown in botanical and private gardens.

The bark of magnolia pocesses medicinal properties as a diaphoretic, stimulant and tonic. In addition, it is used to treat malaria, reduces the blood pressure, and as a wash for sores (Odenwald and Turner, 1996).

Some botanists and horticulturists have acclaimed southern magnolia as the most beautiful of all evergreen trees (McDaniel, 1968 and Glitzenstein et al., 1986). In Egypt, it is grown in landscape in botanical and private gardens because of the combined beauty of its lustrous green leaves and fragrant white flowers.

Fertilization is an important practice for quality of tree seedlings grown in containers since the limited volume of the container seriously restricts their growth. Fertilization increases shoot and root growth of the plants, changes contents of tissue nutrients, improve rooting and growth of the transplants after transplanting (Landis, 1997; Shaw *et al.*, 1998; Oliet et al., 2004).

Gibberellins were used to increase vegetative and root growth of seedlings of many tree species Taha (1994) Parkinsonia aculata, Ahmed (1995) on leucaena leucocephala, Shehata (1995) Poinciana regia, Ahmed (1998) Robinia pseudoacacia, Gul et al. (2006) Araucaria hetrophylla,

In the recent years, foliar application with active breed yeast (*Saccharomyces cervisiae*) has been used as a bio-fertilizer (or a natural bio-stimulant) to improve growth and yield of many crops (Ahmed and Ragab (2002); Abd El-Motty *et al.* (2010); Mahmoud *et al.* (2013); Kamal and Ghanem , 2012) due to its component of nutrients, proteins, vitamin B, cytokinins, and the release of CO2 which improves the net photosynthesis Hashem *et al.* (2008). The main purpose of this investigation was to study the effect of NPK at (0, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5 gm /plant),  $GA_3$  at 100 ppm and Yeast at 3g / L or either alone in combination on subsequent seedling vegetative growth as well as chemical constituents of *Magnolia* grandiflora L.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out at the Experimental Station and Laboratory of Vegetable& Floriculture, Fac. of Agriculture, Mansoura University from April to September in the two successive seasons of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 to study the effect of different NPK rates,  $GA_3$  and yeast either alone or in combination on subsequent vegetative seedling growth and chemical constituents of *Magnolia grandiflora* L.

#### Plant material :

Seeds of Bull Bay (Magnolia grandiflora L.) tree were obtained from the Agricultural High School in Faraskur, Damietta Governorate, Egypt. The seeds were sown in seedling trays containing 1:1 (v / v) peat moss and sand inside unheated greenhouse and were irrigated 3 times a week. After 5 months from sowing (after the emergence of two to four true leaves), each seedling was transplanted to 8 cm plastic pot containing 1:1 (v / v) peat moss and sand and were irrigated 2 times a week. After 12 month from sowing, seedlings were transplanted to 20 cm plastic pots containing 2:1 (v / v) clay and sand and were placed inside a wooden greenhouse and were irrigated one time a week. After 18 month from sowing, transplants were around 7 cm high and carrying 5 true leaves and were approximately 2 mm in diameter.

Transplants were subjected monthly to the experimental treatments starting from the  $1^{st}$  of April to the  $1^{st}$  of September in each year.

## Experimental design:

The experimental design was set in a split plot experiments design with three replicates; each replicate contained three pots. The main plot consisted of four spray treatments with Distilled water (control),  $GA_3$  at 100 ppm; Yeast extract at 5g/l and  $GA_3$  at 100 ppm plus Yeast extract at 5g/l.

The subplot consisted of four NPK treatments; 0 NPK (control), 1.5 g, 3 g, and 4.5 NPK per pot.

## Chemicals used:

The commercial NPK fertilizer Hyper Feed (19 – 19 – 19),  $GA_3$  and yeast were obtained from the Company BIO NANO TECH. Company of Chemicals at Mansoura – Dakahlia – Egypt.

#### Data recorded

At the end of the experiment (September 30<sup>th</sup> of each year, the following measurements were recorded: 1: Plant height (cm): Plant height was measured starting from the soil surface to the top of plants.

2: Stem diameter (mm): stem diameter was measured at 3 cm above the soil surface.

3: Average leaf area

4 : Number of leaves / plant:

5: Plant fresh weight (g).

6 : Plant dry weight (g).

8 : leaves area  $(cm^2)$  :

## 2-Chemical analysis:

Chemical analysis was carried out in the Laboratory of Chemical Dept., Fac .of Agric. Mansoura Univ. Plant samples were oven dried at 70 °C until constant weight then finely ground and were subsequently used for chemical determination according to AOAC (1970).

**Determination of nitrogen percentage :** The nitrogen was determined according to the micro-kjeldhl methd (Jackson, 1967).

**Determination of phosphorus percentage :** The phosphorus percentage was determined colorimetrically according to the method of Murphy and Reily (1962).

**Determination of potassium percentage** :The potassium percentage was determined by using the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (3300) according to Wilde *et al*. (1985).

**Determination of carbohydrates percentage :** The carbohydrates percentage in plant leaf sample was determined according to the method of Herbert *et al* . (1971).

**Chlorophyll pigments (mg/g fresh weight):** Total chlorophyll was determined in the fresh plant leaf samples using the method described by Moran (1982). **Soil analysis :** 

The media of the experimental soil pots were sandy clay loam texture and the soil physical and chemical properties before both seasons are presented in Table (A).

 Table (A): Some Physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental. media in the two seasons (2011/2012 and 2012/2013).

	Mechani	cal Analysis		
Coarse Sand (%)	Fine sand (%)	<b>Silt</b> (%)	<b>Clay</b> (%)	<b>T.Class</b>
3.78	19.56	43.51	33.15	S.C.L
	Chemic	al Analysis		
Caco <sub>3</sub> (%)	OM (%)	SP (%)	EC (ds/m) 1:5	PH 1:2.5
3.93	1.43	59.5	1.03	7.98
	Availa	ıble (ppm)		
N		Р	K	
43.7		4.93	188.5	

#### Statistical analysis:

The obtained data were subjected to the statistical analysis using analysis of variance (ANOVA) of method and means were compared by using the least significant difference (L. S. D) test at 5 % level of probability as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## RESULTS

## I. Effects on plant growth parameters

Table (1) showed the effect of growth regulating substances and mineral fertilization rates treatments on some vegetative growth parameters of *Magnolia grandiflora* L. seedlings during 2011/ 2012 and 2012/ 2013 seasons. Data showed that the combination of any substance plus NPK resulted than better growth parameters compared with any comparable combination between distilled water and NPK fertilization. Data also showed that within any growth regulating substance treatment, the combination between this treatment and the medium dose of NPK (3g/plant) resulted in better

growth than with the high NPK dose. This clearly indicated that the high NPK dose (4.5 g/plant) processes some retarding effect on any specific spray treatment used. In addition, within any NPK treatment, the combination between this treatment with  $GA_3$  plus yeast resulted in the best growth parameters, followed by  $GA_3$ , the yeast treatment, while the control treatment (Distilled water) was the least.

Results also showed that the treatment combination among  $GA_3$  plus yeast combined with medium NPK dose (3 g/plant) resulted in the highest significant values for plant height (22.7 and 23 cm), stem diameter (11.3 and 11.5 mm), number of leaves (14.7and 14.9 leaves /plant), leaf area (618 and 623 cm<sup>2</sup>), and fresh (10.8 and 11.0 g/plant) and dry (6.7 and 7.0 g/plant) weights in the first and second seasons, respectively. The second best treatment was the combination between  $GA_3$  plus yeast and the high NPK dose (4.5 g/plant).

#### J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 7 (4), April, 2016

Table (1):Effect of growth regulating treatments and mineral fertilization on final plant height, stem<br/>diameter, number of leaves, leaf area and fresh and dry weights of Magnolia grandiflora L.<br/>seedlings during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

	500021	-	height			Number o	f leaves		area	Fresh	weight	Dry v	weight	
Treatments			(cm)		(mm)		per plant		(cm2)		<b>(g)</b>		(g)	
		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
	NPK (0.0 g)	9.6	10.0	5.5	5.7	7.4	7.6	296	300	5.0	5.3	2.7	2.9	
Distilled	NPK (1.5 g)	9.9	10.3	6.0	6.2	8.0	8.2	325	320	6.3	6.6	3.7	3.6	
water	NPK (3 g)	10.6	11.0	7.0	7.2	8.4	8.6	356	360	7.7	7.9	4.5	4.7	
	NPK (4.5 g)	10.3	10.7	6.5	6.7	8.1	8.3	348	344	7.0	7.4	4.2	4.3	
	NPK (0.0 g)	16.3	16.7	6.6	6.8	8.3	8.6	332	336	6.3	6.0	3.6	3.5	
GA <sub>3</sub>	NPK (1.5 g)	18.6	19.0	9.0	9.2	9.0	9.2	394	390	8.0	8.2	4.8	4.9	
	NPK (3 g)	20.2	20.6	10.0	10.2	13.6	13.8	574	578	9.9	9.7	5.7	5.5	
	NPK (4.5 g)	19.5	19.9	9.5	9.7	12.0	12.2	515	510	9.2	9.5	5.0	5.3	
	NPK (0.0 g)	16.0	16.4	6.0	6.2	8.0	8.2	320	325	5.9	5.6	3.3	3.2	
Yeast	NPK (1.5 g)	16.3	16.7	10.2	10.4	8.7	8.9	383	378	7.7	7.8	4.5	4.4	
	NPK (3 g)	17.5	17.9	9.2	9.4	11.8	12.0	502	507	9.1	9.0	5.3	5.2	
	NPK (4.5 g)	17.3	17.7	8.2	8.4	11.0	11.2	475	470	8.7	9.0	4.7	4.8	
GA3 plus	NPK (0.0 g)	17.6	18.0	6.4	6.6	9.3	9.5	372	377	6.7	6.5	3.8	3.6	
	NPK (1.5 g)	18.6	19.0	10.4	10.6	10.5	10.7	455	450	9.2	9.3	5.9	5.7	
yeast	NPK (3 g)	22.7	23.0	11.3	11.5	14.7	14.9	618	623	10.8	11.0	6.7	7.0	
	NPK (4.5 g)	21.0	21.4	10.3	10.5	13.0	13.2	525	520	10.0	10.2	6.1	6.1	
L.S.D at 5	5 %	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	7	12	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	

# II. Effects on chemical composition

# a . Effects on N, P, and K contents of the leaves

Table (2) showed the effect of growth regulating substance andmineral fertilization rates on N, P and K% of *Magnolia grandiflora* L. seedlings during 2011/ 2012 and 2012/ 2013 seasons. Data showed that the combination of any substance plus NPK resulted in better N, P and K% compared with any comparable combination between distilled water and NPK fertilization. Data also showed that within any growth regulating substance treatments, the combination between this treatments and the medium dose of NPK (3g/plant) resulted in better concentrations of N, P and K% than with the high NPK dose. This clearly indicates that the high NPK dose (4.5 g/plant) processes

some retarding effect on any specific spray treatment used. In addition, within any NPK treatment, the combination between these treatments with  $GA_3$  plus yeast resulted in the best values of N, P and K%, followed by  $GA_3$ , then the yeast treatment, while the control treatment (Distilled water) was the least.

Results also showed that the combination among GA<sub>3</sub> plus yeast combined with medium NPK dose (3 g/plant) resulted in the highest significant values for nitrogen percentage (3.15 and 3.16%), phosphorus percentage (0.360 and 0.358%) and potassium percentage (3.30 and 3.32%) in the first and second seasons respectively. The second best treatment was the combination between GA<sub>3</sub> plus yeast and the high NPK dose (4.5 g/plant).

#### AbdelKader, H.H. et al.

Treatments			Nitrogen (%)		rus (%)	Potassium (%)	
	11 eaunems	$1^{st}$	$2^{nd}$	1 <sup>st</sup>	$2^{nd}$	$1^{st}$	$2^{nd}$
	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	1.94	1.95	0.237	0.238	2.11	2.13
Distilled water	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	2.09	2.10	0.262	0.263	2.35	2.36
Distilled water	NPK (3 g/plant)	2.32	2.33	0.291	0.290	2.53	2.55
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	2.17	2.18	0.282	0.283	2.44	2.42
	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	2.08	2.09	0.257	0.256	2.29	2.30
$C_{\Lambda}$ (100 mm)	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	2.69	2.70	0.320	0.322	2.81	2.80
GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm)	NPK (3 g/plant)	2.90	2.91	0.334	0.332	3.03	3.05
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	2.79	2.80	0.325	0.326	2.93	2.91
Yeast 5 g/L.	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	1.96	1.97	0.246	0.245	2.18	2.20
	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	2.41	2.42	0.310	0.312	2.64	2.62
	NPK (3 g/plant)	2.60	2.61	0.322	0.320	2.90	2.93
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	2.49	2.50	0.314	0.316	2.79	2.60
	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	2.27	2.29	0.273	0.271	2.45	2.48
GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm)	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	2.93	2.94	0.349	0.351	3.09	3.07
plus yeast 5g/L	NPK (3 g/plant)	3.15	3.16	0.360	0.358	3.30	3.32
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	3.03	3.05	0.334	0.336	3.19	3.17
L.S.D at 5 %		0.06	0.07	0.008	0.010	0.10	0.12

 Table (2): Effect of growth regulating substances and mineral fertilization treatments on nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium percentages in the leaves of Magnolia grandiflora L. seedlings during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons.

# **b**:Effects on carbohydrates and chlorophyll contents in the leaves

Table (3) showed the effect of growth regulating substances and mineral fertilization rates and on total carbohydrates and total chlorophyll content (mg/g FW) of *Magnolia grandiflora* L. seedlings during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons. Data showed that the combination of any substance plus NPK resulted in better values of these parameters compared with any comparable combination between distilled water and NPK fertilization. Data also showed that within any

growth regulating substance treatments, the combination between these treatments and the medium dose of NPK (3g/plant) resulted in better values of these parameters than with the high NPK dose. This clearly indicates that the highest NPK dose (4.5 g/plant) processes some retarding effect on any specific spray treatments used. In addition, within any NPK treatment, the combination between these treatments with GA<sub>3</sub> plus yeast resulted in the best mean values of these parameters, followed by GA<sub>3</sub>, then the yeast treatment, while the control treatment (Distilled water) was the least.

 Table (3): Effect of the growth regulating substances and mineral fertilization rates on total carbohydrates and chlorophyll in the leaves of Magnolia grandiflora L. seedlings during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013

 seesons

		Total carl	ohydrates	Total chlorophyll		
Trea	tments		6)	(mg /g fresh weight)		
		$1^{st}$	$2^{nd}$	$1^{st}$	$2^{nd}$	
	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	31.28	31.30	1.026	1.028	
Distilled water	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	31.93	31.92	1.079	1.077	
Distilled water	NPK (3 g/plant)	32.43	32.45	1.134	1.136	
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	32.08	32.06	1.120	1.118	
	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	31.82	31.84	1.061	1.063	
$GA_3$	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	33.21	33.19	1.203	1.200	
(100 ppm)	NPK (3 g/plant)	33.62	33.65	1.244	1.247	
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	33.48	33.46	1.222	1.220	
	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	31.58	31.60	1.041	1.043	
	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	32.60	32.58	1.188	1.186	
Yeast 5 g/L.	NPK (3 g/plant)	33.15	33.12	1.220	1.223	
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	32.90	32.88	1.203	1.200	
GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm) plus yeast 5g/L	NPK (0.0 g/plant)	32.30	32.33	1.134	1.099	
	NPK (1.5 g/plant)	33.04	33.00	1.244	1.242	
	NPK (3 g/plant)	34.27	34.29	1.262	1.263	
	NPK (4.5 g/plant)	33.93	33.90	1.253	1.252	
L.S.D at 5 %		0.11	0.12	0.030	0.009	

Results also showed that the combination among  $GA_3$  plus yeast combined with medium NPK dose (3 g/plant) resulted in the highest significant values for

total carbohydrate percentage (34.27and 34.29%) and total chlorophyll mg /g fresh weight (1.262 and 1.263 mg) in the first and second seasons respectively. The

second best treatment was the combination between  $GA_3$  plus yeast and the high NPK dose (4.5 g/plant).

## DISCUSSION

The results of this experiment showed that GA<sub>3</sub> spray improved all growth parameters of magnolia seedlings. Similarly, GA<sub>3</sub> spray increased stem length, stem diameter, number of branches, and fresh and dry weights of different tree seedlings ((El-Tantawy, 1981, Taha, 1994, and Ahmed, 1995 & 1998). The principal effect of GA<sub>3</sub> is the enhancement of the synthesis of several hydrolytic enzymes such as  $\alpha$ -amylase and ribonuclease, and to bring about the synthesis of RNA (Maarten and Varner, 1967). GA<sub>3</sub> spray causes an increase in the rate of cell lengthening through the promotion of invertase synthesis as it does with aamylase, which would result in release of reducing sugars that could be used in polysaccharide biosynthesis in elongating cells of the internodes (Peter et al., 1968). The results of this work showed that GA<sub>3</sub> increased carbohydrates contents of the leaves, which coincide with the previously mentioned view for the effect of  $GA_3$ .

The data also showed that all growth parameters were increased as a result of bread yeast foliar spray. Similarly, foliar spray with active dry yeast increased growth of Leucaena leucupnaia seedlings (Ahmed, 2002). The effects of active bread yeast to improving plant growth is due to its components of essential minerals and trace elements, and the B-complex vitamins, cytokinins, along with releasing CO2 which improves the net photosynthesis of the plant (Hashem et al., 2008, and Marzauk et al., 2014). Our results also showed an increase in carbohydrates and chlorophyll in addition to NPK contents of magnolia leaves. Similarly, Yeast extract increased photosynthetic pigments, NPK in leaves of faba bean cv Giza 3 in addition to increasing endogenous auxins and cytokinins (Mady, 2009). Barnett et al. (1990) reported that yeast extract improves vegetative and reproductive growths due to its high auxin and cytokinins content and enhancement carbohydrates accumulation. Also, it was reported to have stimulatory effects on cell division and enlargement, protein and nucleic acid Wanas 2002 and Wanas, 2006).

One of the most important considerations in container seedling fertility is the relatively small volume of most seedling containers which restrict their growth. Accordingly, fertilization is one of the most important cultural practices for plant quality in reforestation, especially for seedlings produced in containers (Landis, 1989). The results of this work showed that magnolia seedlings received monthly NPK fertilizer increased significantly in their growth compared with the control plants. Increasing NPK dose to 3g/pot increased all plant growth parameters. Seedling size has been shown to be positively correlated with nursery fertilization (Van den Driessche, 1980). Previous reports also showed that NPK fertilization produced best growth of Thuja orientalis (El-Khateeb and Salem 1988). Cupressus sempervirens (Hammad, 1994), and

containerized Acacia salicina seedlings (Oliet et al., 2005). The results also showed that fertilizing magnolia seedlings increased their leaves contents of N, P, and K in addition to increasing their chlorophyll and carbohydrates contents. Similarly, in Pinus roxburghii and Quercus leucontrichophora, the N, P and K concentrations increased with increasing fertilizer concentration (Kiran and Bisht, 1993). The best values for all the previously mentioned growth parameters were achieved using 3 g NPK/pot. These results suggest that the optimum fertilizer level for magnolia seedlings was monthly application of 3 g of the NPK fertilizer (used in this work) per pot. Previous reports showed that NPK at 5 g per seedling, enhanced growth of Kalmia angustifolia (Malik, 1996) and walnut (Shi et al., 2010) seedlings. The composition of NPK fertilizer and the size of containers used in this work are different from those used in the other two experiments. Moreover, the optimum nutrient range varies between different nutrients and plant species (Landis 1989). On the other hand, data also showed that the high dose (4.5 g NPK/pot) produced less growth parameters than the medium dose (3g/pot). These results suggest that the high dose (4.5 g/pot) was too high concentration to be used for magnolia seedlings. Mineral nutrition can have both positive and negative effects on seedling quality (Van den Driessche, 1980). In containerized olive, some damage was observed in plants that received the highest amount of N (Fernández-Escobar et al. 2004). Inorganic fertilizers are chemically considered salts and the small container volume also affects the salinity of the solution surrounding the particles of the growing medium. Rohsler and Wright (1984) reported that the main sources of soluble salts in container tree seedling nurseries are fertilizer residues, irrigation water, and the growing medium. When seedlings continue to take up additional nutrients and nutrient concentrations in seedling tissue reach extremely high levels, nutrient toxicity can occur and seedling growth will decrease. In addition, Landis (1985) reported that high N fertilization rates may adversely affect seedling morphology, and high levels of fertilizer salts in the growing medium was also reported to adversely affect the formation of some mycorrhizae of containerized tree seedlings (Torbert et al. 1986).

 $GA_3$  spray was most effective to improving all growth parameters of magnolia seedlings, followed by yeast spray, while fertilization was the third in order.

## **CONCLUSION**

In order to achieve optimum growth for magnolia seedlings, the best combination was monthly fertilization with Hyper feed NPK fertilizer at the rate of 3g /pot, plus foliar spray with GA<sub>3</sub> at 100 ppm and Yeast extract at 5g/l.

## REFERENCES

A.OA.C. (1970). Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (A.O.A.C) 14 Ed. Washington. D. C.

- Abd El-Motty, E.Z., M.F.M. Shahin, M.H. El-Shiekh and M.M.M. Abd-El- Migeed (2010). Effect of algae extract and yeast application on growt nutritional status, yield and fruit quality of Keitte mango trees. Agric. and Biol. J. N. Am., 1 (3): 421-429.
- Ahmed, A. A. (2002). Study the effect of addition methods and concentrations of active dry yeast on the growth and chemical composition of *Leucaena leucopenia*. Proc. Minia 1<sup>st</sup> Conf. Agric. Environ . Sci., Minia, Egypt, March 25 – 28.
- Ahmed, F. F. and M. M. Ragab (2002). A new trial to stimulate growth and nutritional status of Picual olive transplants. The First International Conf. on olive cultivation, protection and processing, 25-27 Sept. El- Arish, Egypt, pp. 19-35.
- Ahmed, A. A. (1995). Some agriculture treatments affecting seed germination and seedling growth of *Leucaena leucocephala*. Ph.D. Dissertation,Fac., Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt, 193 p.
- Ahmed, E. T. (1998). Effect of soil salinity and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and chemical composition of *Robinia pseudoacacia* seedlings. The Second Conf. of Ornamental Hort. Ismailia, Oct. 58 - 63.
- Barnett, J. A.; R. W. Payne, and D. Yarrow (1990). Yeasts characteristics and identification. Cambradge. Camb. CBZBR. containerized Pinus resinosa at exponentially increasing nutrient additions. Canadian of Forest Res., 17(7): 644-647.
- Duncan, W. H. and W. B. Duncan (1988). Trees of the Southeastern United States. The University of Georgia Press. Athens, GA. pp.322.
- El-Khateeb , M. A. and M. A. Salem (1988). Effect of chemical fertilization on growth and chemical composition of *Thuja orientalis* L seedlings. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 14 (2): 924 – 935.
- El-Tantawy, A. (1981). Effect of chemical fertilization, soil media and gibberellic acid on growth of some tree seedlings. M.Sc.Thesis,Fac. Agric.,Cairo Univ., Egypt, 100 p.
- Fernandez-Escobar, R. M. Benlloch, E. Herrera and J.M. Garcia-Novelo (2004). Effect of traditional and slow-release N fertilizers on growth of olive nursery plants and N losses by leaching. Sci. Hort., 101 : 39–49
- Glitzenstein, J. S., P. A. Harcombe and D. R. Streng (1986). Disturbance succession and maintenance of species diversity in an east Texas USA forest. Ecological Monographs 56(3):243-258.
- Gomez, K.H. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., John Willy and Sons, Inc., New York. pp.680.
- Gul, H.; A. M. Khattak and N. Amin (2006). Accelerating the growth of *Araucaria hetrophylla* seedlings through different gibberellic acid concentrations and nitrogen levels. J. of Agri. And Bio.Sci., 1(2):25-29.

- Hammad, H. H. (1994). Physiological Studies on The Growth of Some Trees Seedlings. M .Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., 125 p.
- Hashem, M.;, Y. M. Omran and N.M. Sallam (2008). Efficacy of yeasts in the management of rootknot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) inflame seedless grape vines and the consequent on the productivity of the vines. Biocontrol Science and Technology 18(4): 357-375.
- Herbert, D.; P.J. Philipps and R.E. Strange (1971). Determination of total carbohydrate. Methods in Microbiol. S.B:P 304-344.
- Jackson, M. L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analysis. Printic Hall of India, New Delhi. 144-197.
- Kamal, A.M. and K.M. Ghanem (2012). Impact of some bio-stimulants on organically cultivated snap bean plants. Egyt. J. of Appl. Sci., 27(2): 89-104.
- Kiran , B. and K. Bisht (1993). Growth of *Quercus leucontrichophora* A. Camus and *Pinus roxburghii* sarg. Seedlings in relation to nutrients and water. Proceeding of the Indian National Science Academy Part B Biological Science , 59 (1): 71 77.
- Landis, T. D. (1989). Mineral nutrients and fertilization.
  In: Landis, T. D., Tinus, R. W., McDonald, S. E., Bamett, J. P. (Eds.), the Container Tree Nursery Manual, Agriculture Handbook, USDA Forest Service, 4 (674): 1-70.
- Landis, T.D. (1985). Mineral nutrition as an index of seedling quality. In: Duryea, M. (Ed.), Evaluating Seedling Quality: Principles, Procedures and Predictive Abilities of Major Tests. Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University, 29–48.
- Landis, T.D. (1997). Monitoring seedling nutrition in bareroot and container nurseries. In: Haase, D.L., Rose, R. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Symposium on Forest Seedling Nutrition from the Nursery to the Field. Nursery Technology Cooperative, Oregon State University, pp. 40–43.
- Maarten J. G. and J. E. Varner (1967). Hormonal Control of Enzyme Synthesis: On the Mode of Action of Gibberellic Acid and Abscisin in Aleurone Layers of Barley. Plant Physiol., 42: 1008-1016.
- Mady, M. A (2009). Effect of foliar application with yeast extract and zinc on fruit setting and yield of Faba bean (*Vicia faba L*). J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci., 4(2): 109-127
- Mahmoud, R. Asmaa, M. EL-Desuki, M. Mona, Abdel-Mouty and Aisha, H. Ali (2013). Effect of Compost Levels and Yeast Extract Application on the Pea Plant Growth, Pod Yield and Quality. Journal of Applied Sci. Res., 9(1): 149-155.
- Malik , A. U. (1996). Effect of NPK fertilization on Kalmia angustifolia: implication for forest disturbance and conifer regeneration. Forest Ecology and Management, 81 (1-3): 135 – 141.

- Marzauk N.M., M.R. Shafeek, Y.I. Helmy, A.A. Ahmed and M.A.F. Shalaby (2014). Effect of vitamin E and yeast extract foliar application on growth, pod yield and both green pod and seed yield of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Middle East j. Appl. Sci., 4(1): 61-67
- McDaniel, J. C. (1968). Magnolia hybrids and selections. *In* Proceedings, Sixth Central States Forest Tree Improvement Conference: 6-14.
- Murphy, J. and J. P. Reily (1962). A modified single method for determinatio of phosphorus in natural water . Anal . Chmi . Acta., 27:31-36.
- Moran, R. (1982). "Formula for determination of chlorophyllous pigment extracted with N, N – dimethyl – formamid". Plant Physiol., 69:376-81.
- Odenwald, N. and J. Turner (1996). Identification Selection and Use of Southern Plants for Landscape Design. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Claitor's Publishing Division. Baton Rouge, LA, pp. 688.
- Oliet J., R. Planelles, M.L. Segura , F. Artero, and D.F. Jacobs. (2004).
- Mineral nutrition and growth of containerized Pinus halepensis seedlings under controlled-release fertilizer. Scientia Hort., 103 : 113–129.
- Oliet, J. A.; R. Planelles.; F. Artero and D. F. Jacobs (2005). Nursery fertilization and tree shelters affect long-term field response of *Acacia salicina* Lindl. Planted in Mediterranean semiarid conditions. Forest Ecology and Management 215: 339 – 351.
- Peter B. K., N. Ghosheh, and H. Ikuma (1968). Promotion of Growth and Invertase Activity by Gibberellic Acid in Developing Avena Internodes. Plant Physiol., 43: 29-34.
- seed soaking application with natural yeast and carrot extracts. Annals. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 40 (1): 259-278.

- Shaw, T.M., J.A. Moore and J.D. Marshall (1998). Root chemistry of Douglas- fir seedlings grown under different nitrogen and potassium regimes. Can. J. For. Res. 28, 1566–1573.
- Shehata, N. N. (1995). Response of *Poinciana regia* seedlings to fertilization in sandy soils. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt, 196 p.
- Shi, Y.; H. Wang,; W. Liu,; Y. Gao,; Z. Zhang,; h. Duan, and J. Fang (2010). The effect of different NPK fertilizer levels on growth of walnut saplings. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 861: 183 – 190.
- Taha, R. A. (1994). Seed germination and seedling growth of some ornamental trees. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt, 170 p.
- Torbert, J.L.; Burger, J.A.and R.E. Kreh (1986). Nutrient concentration effects on Pisolithus tinctorius development on containerized loblolly pine (Pinus taeda LJ seedlings. Tree Planters' Notes 37(3): 17-22.
- Rohsler, H.M.and R.D. Wright (1984). What nurserymen should know about soluble salts. American Nurseryman 160(2): 73-77.
- Van den Driessche, R. (1980). Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on Douglas-fir nursery growth and survival after outplnting. Can. J. For. Res. 10: 65 – 70.
- Wanas, A. L. (2002). Resonance of faba bean (Vicia faba L.) plants to seed soaking application with natural yeast and carrot extracts. Annals. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 40 (1): 259-278.
- Wanas, A. L. (2006).Trails for improving growth and productivity of tomato plants grown in winter. Annals. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 44(3):466-471.
- Wilde S. A. .;R.B. Corey ; J. G. Lyer and G. K. Voigt (1985). "Soil and Plant Analysis for tree Culture"
  P. 93- 106. 3 rd Ed Oxford and IBM . Publishing Co., New Delhi.

تأثير التسميد المعدنى وبعض منظمات النمو على نمو شتلات المانوليا هشام هاشم عبد القادر \* ، السيد عطية حامد البرعى \* \* ، على محمد حمزة \* و محمود فتحى رزق بدوية \* قسم الخضر والزينة –كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة \*\* قسم الخضر والزينة –كلية الزراعة – جامعة دمياط

أجريت هذه الدراسة بقسم الخضر والزينة بكلية الزراعة جامعة المنصورة بمحافظة الدقهلية خلال موسمين متتاليين من عام - ١٩) ورش ورقى من الجبريللين تركيز ١٠٠ جزء فى المليون والخميرة ٥ جرام / لتر، إما وحدها أو مجتمعة من أول أبريل إلى أول سبتمبر على نمو شتلات المانوليا جراند فلورا . وأظهرت النتائج أن الرش بالجبريللين كانت المعاملة الأكثر فعالية لتحسين نمو شتلات المانوليا يليها الرش بالخميرة بينما كانت معاملة التسميد فى المرتبة أن الرش بالجبريللين كانت المعاملة الأكثر فعالية لتحسين نمو شتلات المانوليا يليها الرش بالخميرة بينما كانت معاملة التسميد فى المرتبة الثالثة وأن التركيز المتوسط من السماد المركب التجارى ٣ جرام / الأصيص هو التركيز الأفضل لنمو شتلات المانوليا وأوضحت النتائج أيضا أن التسميد بالسماد المركب التجارى ٣ جرام / الأصيص هو التركيز الأفضل لنمو شتلات المانوليا وأوضحت النتائج أيضا أن التسميد بالسماد المركب التجارى ٣ جرام / المانوليا يليها الرش بالخميرة بينما كانت معاملة التسميد فى المرتبة الثالثة وأن التركيز المتوسط من السماد المركب التجارى ٣ جرام / الأصيص هو التركيز الأفضل لنمو شتلات المانوليا وأوضحت النتائج أيضا أن التسميد بالسماد المركب التجارى ٣ جرام / واعلى الأصيص مع الرش بالخميرة بينما كانت معاملة التسميد فى المرتبة الثالثة وأن التركيز المتوسط من السماد المركب التجارى ٣ جرام ا الأصيص هو التركيز الأفضل لنمو شتلات المانوليا وأوضحت النتائج أيضا أن التسميد بالسماد المركب التجارى هيبر فييد ( الم على العليمان الذي يونية الذي المورات المانوليا وأوضحت التائيم أيضا أن التمينيد المتوسل من السماد المركب التجارى تريد أعلى القيم من ارتفاع النبات وقطر الساق وعدد الأوراق فى النبات ومساحة الورقة والوزن الرطب والجاف من النبات وكذلك أعطى أعلى زيادة من محتويات ن ، فو ، بو والكربو هيدرات والكلوروفيل فى أوراق شي شالات المانوليا . التوصيلة :

من أجل تحقيق نمو سريع وجيد لشتلات المانوليا يوصى بتسميد النبات شهريا بالسماد التجاري المركب هيبر فييد ( ١٩ – ١٩ – ١٩ ) ٣ جرام / الأصيص والرش بالجبريللين عند تركيز ١٠٠ جزء في المليون وخميرة الخبز النشطة ٥ جرام / لتر